



MEMORANDUM RECEIVED

227 JAN 15 P 3:51

JUKET CONTROL

P

TO:

Docket Control Center

FROM:

Steven M. Olea

Director

Utilities Division

DATE:

January 15, 2010

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING REGARDING RESOURCE

PLANNING (DOCKET NO. RE-00000A-09-0249)

Attached is the Economic, Small Business, and Consumer Impact Statement that addresses the economic impacts of the recommended changes to the Resource Planning rules, filed in compliance with Decision No. 71435.

SMO:BEK:lhm

Originator: Barbara Keene

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 1 5 2010

DOCKELED BA

Service List for: PROPOSED RULEMAKING REGARDING RESOURCE PLANNING Docket No. RE-00000A-09-0249

Mr. Jeff Schlegel Sweep 1167 West Samalayuca Drive Tucson, Arizona 85704

Mr. Robert Annan Annan Group 6605 East Evening Glow Scottsdale, Arizona 85262

Ms. Deborah R. Scott Pinnacle West Capital Corporation 400 North 5th Street Post Office Box 53999, MS 8695 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

Mr. David Berry Western Resource Advocates Post Office Box 1064 Scottsdale, Arizona 85252

Mr. Eric C. Guidry Western Resource Advocates 2260 Baseline, Suite 200 Boulder, Colorado 80302

Ms. Amanda Ormond The Ormond Group, LLC 7650 South McClintock Drive, Suite 103-282 Tempe, Arizona 85284

Mr. Michael Grant Gallagher & Kennedy 2575 East Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Mr. C. Webb Crockett Mr. Patrick J. Black Fennemore Craig 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Mr. Jerry Coffey Mr. Erick Bonner Ms. Rebecca Turner Gila River Power, L.P. 702 North Franklin Street Tampa, Florida 33602

Ms. Karen Haller Southwest Gas Corporation 5421 Spring Mountain Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Mr. Paul R. Michaud Michaud Law Firm, P.L.C. 46 Eastham Bridge Road East Hampton, Connecticut 06424

Mr. Larry Killman Greystone Environmental 8222 S. 48th Street, Suite 140 Phoenix, Arizona 85044-5353

Mr. Dave Couture Tucson Electric Power Company Post Office Box 711 Tucson, Arizona 85702

Mr. Jerry Payne Cooperative International Forestry 333 Broadway SE Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

Ms. Donna M. Bronski Scottsdale City Attorney's Office 3939 North Drinkwater Boulevard Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Mr. Jay Moyes Moyes Sellers & Sims 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Mr. Brian Hageman Ms. Caren Peckerman Mr. Richard Brill Deluge, Inc. 4116 East Superior Avenue, Suite D3 Phoenix, Arizona 85040

Mr. Dan Pozefsky Ms. Jodi Jerich RUCO 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Mr. John Wallace Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative Association, Inc. 120 North 44th Street, Suite 100 Phoenix, Arizona 85034

Mr. Clifford A. Cathers Sierra Southwest Cooperative Services, Inc. 1000 South Highway 80 Benson, Arizona 85602

Ms. Jana Brandt Ms. Kelly Barr Salt River Project PO Box 52025, MS PAB221 Phoenix, Arizona 85072

Mr. Dan Austin Comverge, Inc. 6509 West Frye Road, Suite 4 Chandler, Arizona 85226

Mr. Theodore Roberts Mr. Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. Post Office Box 1448 Tubac, Arizona 85646

Mr. Michael Patten Mr. Jason Gellman Roshka DeWulf & Patten, LLC One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Mr. Philip Dion UniSource Energy Corporation One South Church Avenue, Suite 200 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1623

Mr. Dan Austin Comverge, Inc. 16013 South Desert Foothills Parkway Suite 1127 Phoenix, Arizona 85048

Mr. Dennis Hughes Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc. 1878 West White Mountain Boulevard Lakeside, Arizona 85929

Mr. Tyler Carlson Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. Post Office Box 1045 Bullhead City, Arizona 86430

Mr. Michael Curtis Mr. William Sullivan Curtis, Goodwin, Sullivan, Udall & Schwab, PLC 501 East Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205

Mr. Troy Anatra Comverge, Inc. 120 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 190 East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Janice Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

B. Economic, Small Business and Consumer Impact Statement

1. Identification of the proposed rule making.

The proposed rule making amends Article 7, Resource Planning and Procurement, Rules R14-2-701 through R14-2-704 and adopts new sections R14-2-705 and R14-2-706 under Title 14, Chapter 2 - Corporation Commission, Fixed Utilities.

The purpose of Resource Planning is for load-serving entities to meet the electric needs of their customers by choosing the best mix of resources, with input from stakeholders in a transparent process, with consideration of reliability, deliverability, cost, environmental impacts, risk, other utilities' plans, and public policy. In its planning process and in meeting its load obligations, a load-serving entity shall consider all available options.

The Rules apply to load-serving entities, as defined in the Rules.

- 2. Persons who will be directly affected by, bear the costs of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule making.
- a. the public at large;
- b. consumers of electric service in Arizona;
- c. electric public service corporations;
- d. Arizona Corporation Commission;
- e. wholesale providers of electricity; and
- f. independent monitors.
- 3. Cost-benefit analysis.
 - a. Probable costs and benefits to the implementing agency and other agencies directly affected by the implementation and enforcement of the proposed rule making.

Probable costs to the Commission of the proposed rule making would include costs associated with reviewing filings, and participating in meetings and hearings.

b. Probable costs and benefits to a political subdivision of this state directly affected by the implementation and enforcement of the proposed rule making.

Arizona political subdivisions will be affected only insofar as they purchase electric services affected by the proposed rule making. Benefits include lower utility bills than without these rules because a fair and transparent procurement process will encourage the lowest prices for the acquisition of resources.

c. Probable costs and benefits to businesses directly affected by the proposed rule making, including any anticipated effect on the revenues or payroll expenditures of employers who are subject to the proposed rule making.

Load-serving entities will be required to increase their analyses and reporting activities. Although the load-serving entities are now engaging in some of the required activities, they may incur additional costs of complying with the rules. These costs may be recovered through the load-serving entities' rates to customers.

4. Probable impact on private and public employment in businesses, agencies, and political subdivisions of this state directly affected by the proposed rule making.

The Commission and load-serving entities may need additional employees or contractors. No impact on employment in political subdivisions is expected.

- 5. Probable impact of the proposed rule making on small businesses.
 - a. Identification of the small businesses subject to the proposed rule making.

Small businesses will be affected only insofar as they purchase electric services affected by the proposed rule making. Benefits include lower utility bills than without these rules because a fair and transparent procurement process will encourage the lowest prices for the acquisition of resources.

Only public service corporations that provide electric generation service and operate or own, in whole or in part, a generating facility or facilities with capacity of at least 50 megawatts combined will be required to comply with the rules. These entities are unlikely to be small businesses.

b. Administrative and other costs required for compliance with the proposed rule making.

None.

c. A description of the methods that the agency may use to reduce the impact on small businesses.

Not applicable.

d. Probable cost and benefit to private persons and consumers who are directly affected by the proposed rule making.

The public at large will benefit from expanded resource planning that considers the total cost of electric energy services, reliability, and risk. A fair and transparent procurement process will encourage the lowest prices for the acquisition of resources.

6. Probable effect on state revenues.

No effect on state revenues by the proposed rule making is expected.

7. <u>Less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed rule making.</u>

The Commission is unaware of any alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the rule making that would be less intrusive or less costly.

8. If for any reason adequate data are not reasonably available to comply with the requirements of subsection B of this section, the agency shall explain the limitations of the data and the methods that were employed in the attempt to obtain the data and shall characterize the probable impacts in qualitative terms.

The data used to compile the information set forth in subsection B are reasonably adequate for these purposes.